_
>
n.
$\Rightarrow$
$\mathbf{O}$
Ō
w
i O
7
7
=
7
4
. •
-
ĊΛ
27
w
$\mathbf{m}$

(a)	ECEIVE!				> De	
	AOR - 3 1998 413/98	Patent and Trac Address: COMM	S DE⊢≼ŔTMENT OF demark Office dissioner of PATE ngton, D.C. 20231		MARKS	
	PATENT CREEKING	1			7	
l.,	SSRIAC NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED 08/182, 183 05/23/94 LIN	APPLICANT	AT	TORUEY NO CKE	Ne4-U	
				<b>S</b>		
	HM21/03	30	ALEX	MINER		
	M/S 10-1-B-431 AMGEN INC.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	ONE AMGEN CENTER DRIVE THOUSAND DAKS CA 91320-1789		36			
	THOUSAND DAKS CH 91320-1707	.E	PATE MAILED:	03439	/98_  f	
	Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge	of this applicat	tion		<b>,</b> , , ,	
-	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADE	MARKS	Provide			
	ADVISORY AC	TION	Previously docketed San A.R.W.— 4/11/98			
	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:			9/11/98	• •	
Í	a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection					
	b) acxpires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
į.	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposas of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
1	Appellants Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/5/98 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:  1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:  a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.  b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
蒙-	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issuappeal.						
7 T	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a correspon	nding number of	finally rejected claims	, 7	y •	
_	NOTE: Bel prior Odinsony Paper	Nb. 36	marked 3/	9/98. 11	u pa	
	I the amendment third i	~ on 3	10/98.	a un l	onen	
2 2 3 -	Newly proposed or amended claims would be the non-allowable claims.	allowed if submit	ted in a separately file	ed amendment car	ncelling	
1	3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be ent		be entered and the si	atus of the claims	will	
/	Claims allowed: <u>\$4-94,118-120,135,150,15</u>	<i>2-157</i>		• •		
	Claims rejected: 177 131 - 134 136 144 151	158				

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.

presented.

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overceme the rejection because

5. The afficavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier